

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/628,907	07/29/2003	John F. Schaupp	12545	8115
7590 07/26/2005			EXAMINER	
Lisa M. Soltis			GANEY, STEVEN J	
Illinois Tool Works Inc. 3600 West Lake Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Glenview, IL 60025			3752	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/200	٢

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/628,907	SCHAUPP, JOHN F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven J. Ganey	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	uly 2003.	•				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
•		•				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/29/03,8/18/03,9/13/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 15-20 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansinger et al.

Hansinger et al discloses an apparatus for dispensing electrically charged particles of a coating material comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention, note specifically first electrode 294 and plurality of second electrodes 286a/286b/286c. See Figures 8-10.

3. Claims 1, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Austin et al.

Austin et al discloses an apparatus for dispensing electrically charged particles of a coating material comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention, note specifically first electrode 32/132 and at least one second electrode at 38/138. See Figures 2 and 3.

4. Claims 1 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buschor.

Buschor discloses an apparatus for dispensing electrically charged particles of a coating material comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention, note specifically first electrode 136 and at least one second electrode at 82. See Figures 2 and 3.

5. Claims 1, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chabert.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,907

Art Unit: 3752

Chabert discloses an apparatus for dispensing electrically charged particles of a coating material comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention, note specifically first electrode 58 and at least one second electrode at 45. See Figure 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buschor in view of Applicant's admission of prior art.

Buschor discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention except for the source of coating material being provided by a fluidized bed. Note in the specification on page 5, lines 32-33, where applicant discloses that the source may be of any known fluidized bed type and cites U.S. Patent 5,768,800. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a fluidized bed as the source of coating material since applicant discloses that such fluidized beds are well known to be used as sources of coating material and the apparatus of Buschor would be capable of being supplied by a fluidized bed.

8. Claims 2-4 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chabert in view of Applicant's Admission of Prior Art.

Chabert discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention except for the source of coating material being provided by a fluidized bed. Note in the specification on page 5, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/628,907

Art Unit: 3752

32-33, where applicant discloses that the source may be of any known fluidized bed type and cites U.S. Patent 5,768,800. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a fluidized bed as the source of coating material since applicant discloses that such fluidized beds are well known to be used as sources of coating material and the apparatus of Buschor would be capable of being supplied by a fluidized bed.

As to claims 3, 4, 22 and 23, note cup-shaped component 56 and diffuser component 58 and col. 3, lines 30-34 concerning the first electrode provided on the diffuser component.

9. Claims 5-18 and 24-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chabert in view of Applicant's Admission of Prior Art as applied to claims 2-4 and 21-23 above, and further in view of Schaupp et al.

Chabert, as modified by Applicant's Admission of Prior Art, discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention except for a diffuser component having a first and second side with the first electrode on the second side facing away from the cup-shaped component and a multiple second electrodes comprising needle-like electrodes. Schaupp et al shows a rotary powder sprayer comprising a cup-shaped component 30 and a diffuser component 34 with an electrode 55 provided on a second side 57. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the diffuser component of Chabert with the diffuser component and electrode 55 of Schaupp et al, since such a modification would work equally as well in the apparatus of Chabert and is merely the substitution of one diffuser component/electrode for another.

As to the multiple needle-like electrodes, note that the second electrode 45of Chabert is annularly around the axis of rotation of the dispenser. As to the needle-like electrodes, such

Application/Control Number: 10/628,907

Art Unit: 3752

electrodes are well known in the electrostatic spraying art. It would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute multiple

needle-like electrodes for the annular electrode of Chabert, since such a modification is merely

the substitution of one electrode means for another and the apparatus of Chabert would perform

equally as well with multiple needle-like electrodes or an annular electrode as disclosed as long

as the electrode means is provided annularly around the axis of rotation of the dispenser.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 10.

disclosure. Kazama et al shows an electrostatic spraying device having first and second

electrodes.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4899.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:00

AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Scherbel, can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for this

Group is (571) 273-8300.

Page 5

7/22/0.5

sjg

7/22/05